

From: Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 10/10/2012 12:13:30 PM
To: Joanna Mcdonald
CC:
Subject: Fw: more water testing in Dimock

FYI
Trish Taylor, Community Involvement Coordinator
Hazardous Site Cleanup Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3
1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA
phone: (215) 814 - 5539
fax: (215) 814 - 3015

-----Forwarded by Trish Taylor/R3/USEPA/US on 10/10/2012 12:13PM -----

To: Richard Rupert/ESC/R3/USEPA/US, heston.gerald@epa.gov, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Trish Taylor
<Taylor.Trish@epamail.epa.gov>
From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 10/10/2012 10:08AM
Subject: Fw: more water testing in Dimock
FYI.

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

----- Forwarded by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US on 10/10/2012 10:09 AM -----

From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/10/2012 10:06 AM
Subject: Re: more water testing in Dimock

thank you for the prompt response. I would consider Duke test also as a pre resuming test so that would be 2 tests. FYI the Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy purchased 2 treatment-expensive- systems and now have use of the water, another neighbor is putting in a huge in ground water holding tank and the rest of us continue to buy and use bottled water. Sincerely, Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

----- Original Message -----

From: "Richard Fetzer" <Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: "Richard Rupert" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2012 9:10:54 AM
Subject: Re: more water testing in Dimock

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

In general, more data is better and should be consistent with the EPA data you already have. This gives a better "pre activity resuming" database to evaluate against after activities are resumed. I suggest that, if you agree to let them sample, you ask them what they will be analyzing for in advance. I also suggest that you make sure that they will provide you with all the data results of their sampling at your home. Its true that the EPA data gives you one sampling event to use. And, yes, if that's all we had, it would be enough to perform some evaluation after activities resume. But in the data collection world, more information is always better. So, two sampling events prior to resuming activities is better. However, if you feel strongly not to let them sample, then that's your decision and I wouldn't want to cause you to be influenced otherwise.

Rich
Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/09/2012 05:19 PM
Subject: more water testing in Dimock

We have been contacted by the gas company for more water testing-they want it before they resume activities. Can you tell me why your testing of our water would not be adequate for them? We do not want to have them test us anymore and

have stated that your water testing which was done before they resume activity should be enough. Sincerely,

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy